Approved For Release 2000/106/25: CIA-RDP57-005841300100000000000000000 Pracess ? Mallatt v. Ostrander Ry & Timber Co. 46 F. Supp. 250 D.Ct., D. Ore (1942) Yankwich, D.J. *OGC Has Reviewed* Violations of Oregon Logging Safety Code-negligence charged for 1 Can it be said that law which leaves to juny question as to whether clause is vague and lays down so definite standard of care or duty turns jurous into legislators or amounts to a demial Dave process "Constantly then our law junor have been made judges of facts upon the determination of which bability or brown liability depended. 314 US 513, 523". The law is full of instances where a main fate depends on his estimating rightly, that is, as the juny subsequently estimates it, some matter of degree. If his judgment is wrong no only may be iskur a fine or a short imprisonment, as here; he may incur the penalty of death." Holmes in hash v. U.S., 1913, and statutes which have left the determination of the reasonableness or practicability lot an action to a juny are not only invulnerable from a con stitutional standpoint, but are in the Try spirit of the juny system.... Tests of this character are not netaphycical, subjective or abstract. They are practical objective and concrete. The standard they establish is as certain as it is pragnation They allow a juny to determine whether in The light of past conduct a please actions nell the standard of reasonables, practicability of the like ... goin . - " . 253-254

Jo it specific enough to satisfy the requirements of due process?

Fundamental constitutional protection that a penal statule must be sufficiently explicit to inform those who are subject to it what bronduct on their part will render them liable to its planalties. It am act in terms so vague that men of common intelligence hund recessail, quess at its meaning and differ as quest to application violates the conditional quantities of due process of law.